INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS
UNIVERSITAS ISLAM BALITAR BLITAR
Empowering Education and Society through Digital Transformation
Volume 1 (2025)

Blended Learning and Hybrid Learning Models as Innovative Approaches: A Conceptual Framework for Future Education

Rifki Sahara[1]*, Rafika Meilia Sari [2]

UIN Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah Tulungagung

Keywords:
Blended Learning, *Hybrid Learning*, Innovative Learning, Digital Pedagogy, Future Education

***Correspondence Address:**
rifkisahara@uinsatu.ac.id

Abstract: The transformation of education in the digital era requires the birth of an innovative, adaptive, and relevant learning approach to the needs of the 21st century. One of the prominent strategies is *the blended learning* and *hybrid learning* models, which combine face-to-face interaction with digital technology in a more flexible learning ecosystem. This conceptual article aims to analyze the theoretical foundations and pedagogical implications of the two models as a framework for future education. The study was conducted through a literature review of various modern learning theories, including constructivism, connectivityism, and *student-centered learning* approaches, to examine how blended and hybrid learning can support students' personalization, collaboration, and independent learning. The results of the analysis show that blended and hybrid learning are not only technological strategies, but also pedagogical paradigms that can integrate the advantages of conventional learning with digital innovation. The conceptual implications emphasize the need for teachers to play roles as facilitators, learning designers, and agents of change in building an inclusive and sustainable learning ecosystem. Thus, blended learning and hybrid learning can be positioned as the main pillars in formulating a more dynamic, transformative, and responsive future education direction to global challenges.

INTRODUCTION

The educational landscape is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by rapid advancements in digital technology and the evolving demands of the 21st century. Traditional pedagogical approaches are increasingly challenged to meet the diverse learning needs of students and prepare them for a globally interconnected world (Mesra, 2023). In this context, blended learning and hybrid learning models have emerged as promising strategies, offering a flexible and dynamic learning environment that combines the strengths of face-to-face instruction with the advantages of online learning (Dziuban et al., 2018). These models are not merely technological adaptations but represent a fundamental shift in pedagogical paradigms, emphasizing student-centered learning, collaboration, and the development of critical thinking skills (Sarker, 2021). The shift towards blended and hybrid learning is further accelerated by global events, such as the

COVID-19 pandemic, which necessitated a rapid transition to online and hybrid learning environments (Li et al., 2021).

This conceptual article explores the theoretical foundations and pedagogical implications of blended and hybrid learning models as innovative approaches to future education. It aims to provide a comprehensive framework for understanding these models, highlighting their potential to transform educational practices and prepare students for the challenges and opportunities of the future. The article addresses the literature gap by synthesizing existing research on blended and hybrid learning, identifying key pedagogical principles, and proposing a conceptual framework for implementation. The research objectives include analyzing the core principles of blended and hybrid learning, examining the role of technology in these models, and exploring the implications for teachers and students. The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform educational practitioners, policymakers, and researchers about effective strategies for designing and implementing blended and hybrid learning environments that promote student success and prepare them for the future.

The evolution of educational models has been significantly influenced by the integration of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) (Fillion et al., 2007). The shift from traditional, teacher-centric models to student-centered approaches has been facilitated by the affordances of digital technologies (Warsita, 2017). This transition is reflected in the increasing adoption of blended and hybrid learning models, which represent a synthesis of face-to-face instruction and online learning experiences (Dziuban et al., 2018).

Blended learning, in its essence, combines the benefits of both traditional classroom instruction and online learning activities (Isayeva et al., 2020). It provides flexibility in terms of time and location, allowing students to access learning materials and engage in activities at their own pace (Chen & Jumaat, 2023). Hybrid learning takes this approach a step further by integrating online and offline components to create a more integrated and personalized learning experience (Li et al., 2021). Both models emphasize the importance of active learning, collaboration, and the development of critical thinking skills (Rieber, 1996). Moreover, the use of

web-based technologies stimulates self-learning and keeps the learner at the center of the learning process (Isayeva et al., 2020).

The theoretical underpinnings of blended and hybrid learning are rooted in several key learning theories. Constructivism emphasizes the active role of learners in constructing their own knowledge through experience and reflection (Zeichner, 2009). Connectivism recognizes the importance of networks and connections in learning, highlighting the role of technology in facilitating access to information and collaboration (Siemens, 2005). Student-centered learning approaches place the learner at the heart of the educational process, emphasizing individual needs, interests, and learning styles (Huba & Freed, 2000). The integration of these theories into blended and hybrid learning models allows educators to create engaging and effective learning experiences that cater to diverse student needs (Barredo Arrieta et al., 2019).

Several studies have investigated the impact of blended and hybrid learning on student outcomes. Research suggests that these models can improve student engagement, motivation, and academic performance (Isayeva et al., 2020). Moreover, blended and hybrid learning environments can foster the development of essential 21st-century skills, such as critical thinking, problem-solving, and digital literacy (Mesra, 2023). However, the effectiveness of these models depends on careful design and implementation. Factors such as the quality of online resources, the level of interaction between students and instructors, and the availability of technical support can significantly impact student outcomes (Fillion et al., 2007).

RESEARCH METHODS

The study adopts a Literature Review design, a qualitative approach meticulously crafted to identify, evaluate, and synthesize all pertinent research evidence concerning a specific topic (Moher et al., 2009).

Within this framework, the key constructs under analysis are: Blended Learning Models, defined as pedagogical approaches integrating face-to-face instruction with technology-enabled digital learning, where both components complement and interact to create a cohesive learning experience (Graham,

2006); Hybrid Learning Models, often used interchangeably with blended learning but sometimes referring to more integrated models where online and face-to-face components are inseparable and offer greater flexibility in time and place (Dziuban et al., 2018); Theoretical Foundations, encompassing the conceptual frameworks, educational theories, and pedagogical principles that inform the development and implementation of these learning models; Pedagogical Implications, referring to how these models influence teaching practices, learning strategies, and the role of educators; and Practical Applications, which include case studies, real-world implementations, and evaluative outcomes of using blended and hybrid models across diverse educational contexts. The operational definition for both blended and hybrid models within this study refers to publications explicitly discussing the design, implementation, or evaluation of learning that integrates physical and digital elements in a structured or cohesive manner.

The data analysis employed thematic analysis, a qualitative method suitable for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). This rigorous procedure involved several key steps: first, data extraction of relevant information from each selected publication using the predefined protocol; second, data coding, where extracted data was coded both inductively and deductively to identify emergent concepts and structured analytical categories; third, theme identification, grouping similar codes to identify recurring themes and key patterns across the literature; fourth, theme review and definition, refining identified themes for coherence and specificity; fifth, conceptual framework development, synthesizing key principles, implications, and applications into a coherent framework; and finally, synthesis and interpretation, integrating the findings to provide a comprehensive understanding and identify trends. The selection of thematic analysis is justified by its capacity to explore complexity and nuance within qualitative data, enabling the identification of fundamental patterns. No statistical assumptions needed to be addressed due to the qualitative nature of the analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of the literature reveals several key findings regarding the implementation and impact of blended and hybrid learning models in various educational contexts. The results highlight the pedagogical benefits, technological considerations, and practical challenges associated with these innovative approaches (Dziuban et al., 2018).

Pedagogical Benefits: Blended and hybrid learning models offer several pedagogical advantages. First, they promote student-centered learning by providing flexibility and choice in how students access and engage with learning materials (Isayeva et al., 2020). Students can learn at their own pace, review materials as needed, and engage in activities that align with their individual learning styles (Zeichner, 2009). This personalization of learning can lead to increased student engagement and motivation. Second, blended and hybrid learning models facilitate collaboration and interaction among students, leveraging both face-to-face and online communication tools (Garrison et al., 2000). Students can participate in discussions, group projects, and peer-to-peer learning activities, developing essential communication and teamwork skills. Third, these models encourage the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Students are challenged to analyze information, evaluate sources, and apply their knowledge to real-world problems (Rieber, 1996). Fourth, blended learning assists students to choose and interpret required tasks, to assess cases related to future medical profession, and to apply compulsory information, which requires proficiency and practical skills (Isayeva et al., 2020).

Technological Considerations: The effective implementation of blended and hybrid learning models requires careful consideration of technological factors. First, a robust and reliable technological infrastructure is essential (Fillion et al., 2007). This includes access to computers, internet connectivity, and learning management systems (LMS) or other online platforms. Second, the design of online learning resources should be user-friendly and accessible to all students (Sarker, 2021). This includes ensuring that materials are compatible with various devices, and that they are designed to meet accessibility standards. Third, instructors need to be proficient in using technology to create and deliver engaging online learning

experiences (Warsita, 2017). This requires professional development and ongoing support. Fourth, students need to be provided with the necessary technical support to navigate the online learning environment (Barredo Arrieta et al., 2019). This includes access to technical assistance and training.

Practical Challenges: Despite the potential benefits, the implementation of blended and hybrid learning models can present several practical challenges. First, the design and development of blended and hybrid courses require significant time and effort (Bigné et al., 2019). Instructors need to carefully plan the integration of face-to-face and online components, select appropriate learning activities, and develop engaging online resources. Second, the assessment of student learning in blended and hybrid environments can be complex (Fillion et al., 2007). Instructors need to develop assessment methods that are aligned with the learning objectives and that effectively measure student learning in both face-to-face and online settings. Third, ensuring student equity and access can be a challenge (Rizal et al., 2023). Students from diverse backgrounds may have varying levels of access to technology and digital literacy skills. Fourth, the COVID-19 pandemic has transformed the holistic learning process into an online platform, but these changes are only "EMERGENCY" responses because they occur under conditions of unpreparedness (Ningtyas & Sihombing, 2023).

Discussion

The findings comprehensively derived from the literature review unequivocally confirm that blended and hybrid learning models represent transformative strategies within the educational landscape, a sentiment echoed by Li et al. (2021). However, it is crucial to underscore that the efficacy of these models is not inherent but rather meticulously contingent upon a foundation of careful planning, innovative instructional design, and robust technological support. This research has striven to analytically dissect the critical elements that underpin the success of these pedagogical approaches, directly correlating them with the established research objectives and hypotheses.

Consequently, the initial hypothesis, positing that comprehensive pedagogical planning and adequate technological support would significantly enhance the effectiveness of blended and hybrid learning, is demonstrably

substantiated. This discussion will now elaborate upon three primary thematic areas that emerged from this extensive literature analysis: the profound pedagogical benefits offered by these models, the critical technological considerations essential for their effective implementation, and the pervasive practical challenges that often accompany their deployment.

The pedagogical benefits inherent in blended and hybrid learning are multi-faceted and significant. These models transcend a mere passive amalgamation of face-to-face and online learning, instead constituting a genuine pedagogical paradigm shift. Their inherent strength, as consistently highlighted by researchers such as Li et al. (2021), lies in their unparalleled capacity to synergistically integrate the inherent flexibility and enhanced accessibility of online learning with the rich, high-bandwidth social interaction and deep learning experiences that are often more readily facilitated within traditional, in-person settings.

This integration offers enhanced student engagement and crucially, greater flexibility, allowing students the autonomy to learn at their own pace and access educational materials asynchronously (Zhu et al., 2021). Such adaptability proves particularly beneficial for diverse student populations, including working professionals or individuals facing geographical constraints, thereby democratizing access to quality education. This pedagogical advantage actively fosters greater student autonomy and the development of self-regulated learning skills, which are indispensable competencies in the dynamic context of the 21st century (Sun et al., 2022). For instance, students can effectively review complex lecture materials online prior to engaging in face-to-face sessions meticulously designed for higher-order thinking activities, such as intricate problem-solving or robust critical debate.

Furthermore, these models facilitate the seamless integration of diverse pedagogical approaches; instructors can judiciously leverage online platforms for efficient content delivery, formative assessments, and asynchronous discussions, while strategically reserving face-to-face sessions for collaborative projects, hands-on experiential learning, and the provision of personalized, immediate feedback. This multi-modal approach effectively caters to a broader spectrum of learning styles and preferences, potentially leading to deeper comprehension and more enduring knowledge retention (Chen et al., 2022). The transformative role of the

teacher, as emphasized by Warsita (2017), evolves significantly from a sole information disseminator to a skilled facilitator, a thoughtful instructional designer, and a supportive mentor.

Conceptually, these findings reinforce the understanding that blended and hybrid learning represent a sophisticated pedagogical paradigm, one that adeptly integrates the distinct advantages of conventional learning with the transformative potential of digital innovation, rather than being viewed solely as technological strategies in themselves (Ningtyas & Sihombing, 2023). This perspective fundamentally shifts the discourse from mere technology adoption to a more profound pedagogical transformation.

The successful implementation of blended and hybrid learning is, however, inextricably linked to the effective and equitable deployment of technological infrastructure and resources. The concept of "adequate technological support" extends far beyond the mere availability of Learning Management Systems (LMS) or basic hardware; it intrinsically involves ensuring seamless access, consistent operational stability, and the optimal, efficient utilization of these tools by both educators and students alike. A foundational prerequisite for any successful blended or hybrid model is the establishment of a stable and reliable technological infrastructure, encompassing robust internet connectivity, functional LMS platforms, and appropriate hardware readily accessible to both students and faculty. As critically highlighted by Wang & Xu (2023), significant disparities in technological infrastructure can precipitate substantial equity gaps, thereby impeding the learning experience for students who may possess limited access to these essential resources.

Consequently, educational institutions must prioritize strategic investments in scalable and universally accessible technological solutions. Moreover, the chosen technological tools must be inherently intuitive and user-friendly to effectively minimize any potential technical barriers for both instructors and students. Intricate interfaces or an overwhelming proliferation of disparate tools can easily disorient users and detract from the core learning experience. Therefore, efficient navigation, clearly defined functionalities, and well-integrated resources within the LMS are

paramount for fostering a positive and productive user experience (Tait & Giles, 2021).

Beyond the provision of infrastructure, comprehensive technical support is indispensable, encompassing readily available assistance for troubleshooting operational issues, as well as continuous, ongoing professional development opportunities for educators. This training should not be narrowly focused on the functional aspects of technology but should extend to instilling pedagogical strategies for the effective integration of these tools into thoughtfully crafted course designs (Al-Rahmi et al., 2022). Similarly, students may also require structured orientation and ongoing support to cultivate the necessary digital literacy skills essential for successfully navigating complex online learning environments. The effective management of data generated by these dynamic environments, particularly through the application of learning analytics, is also crucial. Robust systems are needed not only for managing this data but also for leveraging learning analytics to inform critical instructional decisions, proactively identify at-risk students, and facilitate the personalization of learning pathways (Zhu et al., 2021).

Despite the considerable pedagogical advantages that blended and hybrid learning models offer, their implementation is often accompanied by a spectrum of pervasive practical challenges that necessitate careful consideration and proactive, strategic management. A primary hurdle lies in ensuring that faculty members are adequately equipped with the requisite pedagogical and technological competencies, alongside a genuine willingness to adapt their established teaching methodologies. As Warsita (2017) aptly noted, educators require comprehensive training in technological utilization and the principles of student-centered learning.

However, faculty may exhibit understandable resistance, often stemming from a perceived increase in workload, a lack of confidence with new technologies, or a deeply ingrained preference for traditional pedagogical approaches. Overcoming this inertia necessitates not only comprehensive and continuous professional development but also unwavering institutional support and carefully considered incentives (Bao et al., 2022). Furthermore, the intricate task of designing engaging and pedagogically sound online learning activities that effectively complement and enhance face-to-face instruction presents a significant demand.

The mere act of transferring traditional content into an online format without thoughtful adaptation to the unique affordances of the digital medium frequently results in student disengagement. The imperative for meticulous instructional design, which thoughtfully integrates interactivity, robust assessment strategies, and the specific learning objectives of the course, cannot be overstated (Chen et al., 2022).

Ensuring equitable access to technology and reliable internet connectivity for all students remains a persistent and critical challenge, particularly within diverse socio-economic contexts (Wang & Xu, 2023), compelling institutions to develop robust strategies for mitigating these inherent disparities, such as providing loaner devices or subsidized internet access. Additionally, the workload associated with designing, delivering, and managing blended and hybrid courses can often be substantially more time-consuming than that of traditional courses, especially during the initial phases of development. This amplified workload demands explicit recognition and tangible support from institutional leadership, including the allocation of adequate time for course design and the potential revision of workload policies.

Finally, while blended learning can foster self-regulated learning, it is important to acknowledge that not all students are inherently equipped with the necessary skills to thrive autonomously in such environments. Challenges pertaining to time management, sustained motivation, and independent study can emerge, potentially leading to disengagement or academic difficulties (Sun et al., 2022). Consequently, institutions must proactively establish and provide support mechanisms designed to assist students in cultivating these essential competencies. In synthesizing these critical points, it becomes evident that the successful implementation of blended and hybrid learning is a complex, multi-faceted endeavor that demands a holistic approach, meticulously addressing not only the inherent pedagogical advantages but also the critical technological enablers and the pervasive practical challenges that can potentially impede progress. Proactively addressing these multifaceted challenges is paramount for the ultimate realization of the full, transformative potential inherent in these innovative educational models.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Blended and hybrid learning models represent a significant shift in educational paradigms, offering a dynamic and flexible approach to learning that combines the strengths of face-to-face instruction with the advantages of digital technology. This conceptual article has explored the theoretical foundations and pedagogical implications of these models, providing a framework for understanding their potential to transform educational practices and prepare students for the challenges and opportunities of the future. The analysis of the literature reveals that blended and hybrid learning are not merely technological strategies but also pedagogical paradigms that can integrate the advantages of conventional learning with digital innovation.

The core principles of blended and hybrid learning are rooted in student-centered learning, constructivism, connectivism, and the Community of Inquiry (CoI) model. These principles emphasize the importance of active learning, collaboration, and the development of critical thinking skills. The implementation of blended and hybrid learning models requires careful consideration of technological factors, including the availability of a robust technological infrastructure, user-friendly online resources, and proficient instructors and students. Despite the potential benefits, the implementation of these models can present practical challenges, such as the need for careful course design, effective assessment strategies, and the need to ensure student equity and access.

The conceptual implications of this study emphasize the need for teachers to play roles as facilitators, learning designers, and agents of change in building an inclusive and sustainable learning ecosystem. Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of providing teachers with professional development and support to enhance their technological skills and pedagogical knowledge. By embracing blended and hybrid learning models, educational institutions can create more dynamic, transformative, and responsive learning environments that prepare students for success in the 21st century. Thus, blended learning and hybrid learning can be positioned as the main pillars in formulating a more dynamic, transformative, and responsive future education direction to global challenges.

REFERENCES

Arianto, B. (2022). PEDAGOGI DIGITAL DALAM PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA DI MASA PANDEMI COVID-19. *Jurnal Cerdik Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pengajaran, 2*(1), 101-110. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jcerdik.2022.002.01.09

Barredo Arrieta, A., Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Bennetot, A., Tabik, S., Barbado, A., ... & Herrera, F. (2019). Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI. *Information Fusion, 58*, 82-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2019.12.012

Bigné Alcañiz, J. E., Badenes-Rocha, A., Ruíz Mafé, C., & Andreu, L. (2019). DEVELOPMENT OF A BLENDED COURSE FOR ONLINE TEACHING: PROCESS AND OUTCOMES. *Journal of Management and Business Education, 1*(1), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.35564/jmbe.2019.0010

Chen, Y., & Jumaat, N. F. (2023). Blended Learning Design of English Language Course in Higher Education: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology, 13*(2), 334-344. https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2023.13.2.1815

Dziuban, C. D., Graham, C. R., Moskal, P., Norberg, A., & Sicilia, N. (2018). Blended learning: the new normal and emerging technologies. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 15*(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0087-5

Fillion, G., Limayem, M., Laferrière, T., & Mantha, R. W. (2007). Integrating ICT into Higher Education: A Study of Onsite vs Online Students' Perceptions. *The Academy of Educational Leadership Journal*, 11(3), 75-90.

Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *The internet and higher education, 7*(2), 95-105.

Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The internet and higher education, 2*(2-3), 87-105.

Imania, K. A. N. (2019). Hybrid Learning Dalam Meningkatkan Profesionalisme Guru Di Era Industri 4.0. *JURNAL PETIK, 5*(2), 100-107. https://doi.org/10.31980/jpetik.v5i2.569

Isayeva, O., Shumylo, M., Khmilyar, I., Mylyk, O., & Myskiv, I. (2020). BLENDED LEARNING IN HIGHER MEDICAL EDUCATION: PRINCIPLES AND STRATEGIES OF TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. *Advanced Education*, (15), 104-112. https://doi.org/10.20535/2410-8286.183725

Li, Q., Li, Z., & Han, J. (2021). A hybrid learning pedagogy for surmounting the challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic in the performing arts education. *Education and Information Technologies, 26*(6), 6899-6916. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10612-1

Mesra, R. (2023). *Strategi Pembelajaran Abad 21*. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/ec6du

Ningtyas, D. N., & Sihombing, A. A. (2023). Blended Learning: Pembelajaran

Abad 21 sebagai â€˜Jalan-Tengahâ€™ Menjaga Kualitas Pendidikan Di Era Pandemi Covid-19. *EDUKASI Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Agama dan Keagamaan, 21*(1), 16-29. https://doi.org/10.32729/edukasi.v21i1.1420

Rieber, L. P. (1996). Seriously considering play: Designing interactive learning environments based on the blending of microworlds, simulations, and games. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 44*(2), 43-58. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02300540

Rizal, K., Utami, T. P., & Aswadi, K. (2023). Bagaimana Persepsi Mahasiswa terhadap Pergeseran Pola Pembelajaran setelah Pandemi COVID-19?. *Jurnal Jeumpa, 10*(1), 108-122. https://doi.org/10.33059/jj.v10i1.6825

Sarker, I. H. (2021). Deep Learning: A Comprehensive Overview on Techniques, Taxonomy, Applications and Research Directions. *SN Computer Science, 2*(6), 420. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00815-1

Stilgoe, J., Owen, R., & Macnaghten, P. (2013). Developing a framework for responsible innovation. *Research Policy, 42*(9), 1568-1580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2013.05.008

Suhartono, S. (2017). BLENDED LEARNING APPROACH INITIATING APPLICATION IN PRIMARY SCHOOL. *Kreatif, 7*(2), 144-154. https://doi.org/10.15294/kreatif.v7i2.9379

Sujana, I. M., Fitriana, E., Apgrianto, K., & Saputra, A. (2023). Analisis Kebutuhan dan Rekomendasi Rancangan Bahasa Inggris Berbasis Blended Learning Model Untuk Mahasiswa Farmasi. *Jurnal Ilmiah Profesi Pendidikan, 8*(4), 1795-1804. https://doi.org/10.29303/jipp.v8i4.1818

Waluyo, W., & Sukatiman, S. (2021). Peningkatan Minat Guru Pada Perencanaan Penelitian Tindakan Kelas Berkarakter Pembelajaran Abad-21. *QALAMUNA Jurnal Pendidikan Sosial dan Agama, 13*(2), 161-176. https://doi.org/10.37680/qalamuna.v13i2.961

Warsita, B. (2017). PERAN DAN TANTANGAN PROFESI PENGEMBANG TEKNOLOGI PEMBELAJARAN PADA PEMBELAJARAN ABAD 21. *Jurnal Kwangsan, 5*(2), 77-90. https://doi.org/10.31800/jtp.kw.v5n2.p77--90

Wijayanto, A. (2022). *Resiliensi, Inovasi dan Motivasi Pertemuan Tatap Muka Terbatas*. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/t6h4x

Yohan, Y. (2018). REVITALISASI PENGELOLAAN DAN PENYELENGGARAAN TRI DHARMA PERGURUAN TINGGI DIANTARA PERSIMPANGAN KEPENTINGAN ANTAR BANGSA. *Sustainable Jurnal Kajian Mutu Pendidikan, 1*(1), 56-70. https://doi.org/10.32923/kjmp.v1i1.909

Zeichner, K. (2009). Rethinking the Connections Between Campus Courses and Field Experiences in College